



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,176	12/31/2003	Ruwan Jayanetti	022.0040 (A002)	5021
29906	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.			GOKHALE, SAMEER K	
7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2629	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/750,176	JAYANETTI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sameer K. Gokhale	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No	ovember 2005.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-22 is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/26/2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/750,176 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abileah (US 20030222857) in view of Colgan et al. (US 6,483,498) (hereafter, "Colgan").

Regarding claim 1, Abileah teaches an apparatus responsive to a resistive touch screen, the resistive touch screen having a first conductive layer (Fig. 3, layer 78) and a second conductive layer (Fig. 3, layer 80) separated from one another under quiescent

conditions (Fig. 3, see para. 37, lines 17-20, where the layers do not make contact until the user presses on the top layer), the first and second conductive layers each having a first and second electrode (Fig. 3, bus bars 100, 102, 104, and 106), the apparatus comprising a detection circuit (Fig. 3, controller 108) coupled for providing a first reference voltage to the first and second electrodes of the first conductive layer and providing a second reference voltage to the first and second electrodes of the second conductive layer (Fig. 3, see para. 38, where the controller must be the device that provides the reference voltages to the electrodes of each layer).

However, Abileah does not teach maintaining said first and second reference voltages substantially constant during operation of the resistive touch screen.

However, Colgan does teach a resistive touch panel that maintains the first and second reference voltages substantially constant during operation of the resistive touch screen (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where contact between the layers causes a current flow to the electrodes, which means there was no current flowing prior to contact, which means it is inherent that there was a constant voltage between the electrodes at all times).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Colgan in the apparatus of Abileah so that the only current measurements need to be taken (as shown by Colgan on col. 7, lines 60-63) as opposed to measuring switched voltage changes.

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 2, Colgan further teaches an apparatus wherein the resistive touch screen dissipates substantially zero power under quiescent conditions (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where if there is no current flowing prior to contact as discussed above, then there is substantially zero power dissipated).

Regarding claim 3, Colgan further teaches an apparatus wherein approximately zero current is conducted by the first conductive layer and the second conductive layer under quiescent conditions (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, as discussed above).

Regarding claim 4, Colgan further teaches an apparatus wherein currents are conducted at the first and second electrodes of the first conductive layer when the first conductive layer couples to the second conductive layer and wherein currents are conducted at the first and second electrodes of the second conductive layer when the first conductive layer couples to the second conductive layer (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65).

Regarding claim 5, Abileah in view of Colgan further teaches an apparatus wherein the first and second electrodes are coupled to opposing ends of the first conductive layer in a y-direction (Abileah, Fig. 3), wherein the first and second electrodes are coupled to opposing ends of the second conductive layer in a x-direction (Abileah, Fig. 3), and wherein a location where the first and second conductive layers couple together is determined from said currents conducted at the first and second

Art Unit: 2629

electrodes of the first and second conductive layers (Colgan, Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65 as was discussed above).

Regarding claim 6, Colgan further teaches an apparatus wherein a pressure applied to the resistive touch screen is calculated from said currents conducted at the first and second electrodes of the first and second conductive layers (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where calculating a "contact" based on the currents as shown in the formulas is the same as calculating a "pressure").

Regarding claim 14, Abileah teaches a method of operating a resistive touch sensitive screen, the resistive touch screen comprising a first conductive layer and a second conductive layer (Fig. 3, layers 78 and 80), the first conductive layer having a first electrode and a second electrode (Fig. 3, bus bars 100 and 102), the second conductive layer having a first electrode and a second electrode (Fig. 3, bus bars 104 and 106).

However, Abileah does not teach a method comprising the steps of: applying substantially equal voltages to the first and second electrodes of the first conductive layer; and applying substantially equal voltages to the first and second electrodes of the second conductive layer such that approximately zero current is conducted in the first and second conductive layers under quiescent conditions.

However, Colgan does teach a method comprising the steps of: applying substantially equal voltages to each electrode over a pair of conductive layers such that

Art Unit: 2629

approximately zero current is conducted in the first and second conductive layers under quiescent conditions (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where Colgan teaches the equivalent resistant circuit as Abileah's method of having two electrodes per layer, and where contact between the layers causes a current flow to the electrodes, which means there was no current flowing prior to contact, which means it is inherent that there was a constant voltage between the electrodes at all times).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Colgan in the apparatus of Abileah so that the only current measurements need to be taken (as shown by Colgan on col. 7, lines 60-63) as opposed to measuring switched voltage changes.

Regarding claim 15, Colgan further teaches a method of operating a resistive touch sensitive screen further including the steps of: touching the resistive touch sensitive screen such that the first conductive layer couples to the second conductive layer; and determining a location where the resistive touch sensitive screen is touched using currents from the first and second electrodes of the first conductive layer and currents from the first and second electrodes of the second conductive layer (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where Colgan teaches the equivalent resistant circuit as Abileah's method of having two electrodes per layer, and where contact between the layers causes a current flow to the electrodes, which means there was no current flowing prior to contact, which means it is inherent that there was a constant voltage between the electrodes at all times).

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 16, Colgan further teaches a method of operating a resistive touch sensitive screen further including a step of determining a pressure applied to the resistive touch sensitive screen using currents from the first and second electrodes of the first conductive layer and currents from the first and second electrodes of the second conductive layer (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where calculating a "contact" based on the currents as shown in the formulas is the same as calculating a "pressure").

4. Claims 7 - 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abileah in view of Colgan and further in view of Petty (US 5,859,392).

Regarding claim 7, Abileah in view of Colgan teaches the limitations of claim 1 as discussed above, however it does not teach a detection circuit that comprises: a first current to voltage converter having a first terminal coupled to the first electrode of the first conductive layer and a second terminal; a second current to voltage converter having a first terminal coupled to the second electrode of the first conductive layer and a second terminal; a third current to voltage converter having a first terminal coupled to the first electrode of the second conductive layer and a second terminal; and a fourth current to voltage converter having a first terminal coupled to the first electrode of the second conductive layer and a second terminal.

However, Petty does teach a for a current detecting touch panel (see col. 4, lines 12-15) comprising a detection circuit (Fig. 1, controller 16) comprising: a first current to

Art Unit: 2629

voltage converter (Fig. 3, 34A) having a first terminal coupled to the first electrode of the first conductive layer and a second terminal (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, where 34D is representative for all the I/V converters in Fig. 3, and where 20A, which is shown as 20D in Fig. 4, is a first terminal coupled to the corner wire as shown in Fig. 2, where a corner wire connection constitutes an electrode as described on col. 4, lines 12-15; and where the output of amplifier 52 is a second terminal); a second current to voltage converter having a first terminal coupled to the second electrode of the first conductive layer and a second terminal; a third current to voltage converter having a first terminal coupled to the first electrode of the second conductive layer and a second terminal; and a fourth current to voltage converter having a first terminal coupled to the first electrode of the second conductive layer and a second terminal; and the second conductive layer and a second terminal (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, where the description of the first current to voltage converter above is analogous to the second, third, and fourth current to voltage converters 34B-34D on Fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the controller of Petty in the panel of Abileah in view of Colgan in order to be able to convert and measure the current that Colgan is detecting.

Regarding claim 8, Petty further teaches an analog to digital converter responsive to said second terminals of said first, second, third, and fourth current to voltage converters (Fig. 3, converter set 38A – 38D, see col. 5 lines 65-67).

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 9, Petty further teaches an apparatus wherein said detection circuit further including a microcontroller responsive to said analog to digital converter (Fig. 3, coordinate calculator 48 constitutes a microcontroller and it receives the signals from the A/D converters 38A – 38D).

Regarding claim 10, Petty further teaches an apparatus wherein said first current to voltage converter comprises: an amplifier (Fig. 4, 52) having a positive input coupled to a first reference voltage (see col. 6, lines 35-37), a negative input coupled to said first terminal of said first current to voltage converter (Fig. 4, where the input line 20D is connected to the first terminal as described above in regards to claim 7), and an output coupled to said second terminal of said first current to voltage converter (Fig. 4, where the output of the amp 52 was the second terminal as described above in regards to claim 7); and a resistor having a first terminal coupled to said output of said amplifier and a second terminal coupled to said first terminal of said first current to voltage converter (Fig. 4 shows such a resistor below amp 52 connected between the first and second terminal).

Regarding claims 11-13, the description in regards to the first current to voltage converter is analogous to the descriptions of the second, third, and fourth current to voltage converters respectively, where each is show in Petty, Fig. 3.

Art Unit: 2629

5. Claims 18 - 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colgan in view of Petty.

Regarding claim 18, Colgan teaches an apparatus comprising: a resistive touch screen (see col. 1, lines 40-42); a substrate (see col. 2 lines 65 – col. 3, line 1); and wherein a voltage on electrode corners of the substrate remain substantially constant during operation of said resistive touch screen (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where contact between the layers causes a current flow to the electrodes, which means there was no current flowing prior to contact, which means it is inherent that there was a constant voltage between the electrodes at all times).

However, Colgan does not explicitly teach a plurality of current to voltage converters on said substrate responsive to said resistive touch screen; and a plurality of wires coupling said resistive touch screen to said plurality of current to voltage converters.

However, Petty does teach a touch panel comprising a plurality of current to voltage converters on said substrate responsive to said resistive touch screen (Fig. 3, converters 34A – 34D); and a plurality of wires coupling said resistive touch screen to said plurality of current to voltage converters (Fig. 3, see col. 4, lines 12-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the controller of Petty in the panel of Colgan in order to be able to convert and measure the current that Colgan is detecting.

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 19, Petty further teaches an A/D converter on said substrate responsive to said plurality of current to voltage converters (Fig. 3, converter set 38A – 38D, see col. 5 lines 65-67); and a microcontroller on said substrate responsive to said A/D converter (Fig. 3, coordinate calculator 48 constitutes a microcontroller and it receives the signals from the A/D converters 38A – 38D).

Regarding claim 20, Colgan further teaches an apparatus wherein said plurality of wires conduct substantially zero current under quiescent conditions (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where contact between the layers causes a current flow to the electrodes, which means there was no current flowing prior to contact).

Regarding claim 21, Colgan further teaches an apparatus wherein said plurality of wires conduct a current when said resistive touch screen is touched (Fig. 7, col. 7, lines 51-65).

Regarding claim 22, Colgan further teaches an apparatus wherein a pressure applied to said resistive touch screen is calculated from said currents conducted by said plurality of wires when said resistive touch screen is touched (Fig. 7, see col. 7, lines 51-65, where calculating a "contact" based on the currents as shown in the formulas is the same as calculating a "pressure").

Application/Control Number: 10/750,176 Page 12

Art Unit: 2629

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Relative to dependent claim 17, the prior art of record (Abileah, Colgan, Petty) does not teach sending an alert signal when the currents from the first and second electrodes of the first and second conductive layers added together do not equal approximately zero.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chang et al. (US 6,975,307) teaches a resistive touch panel where there is two reference voltages applied to the screen. Junghans (US 6,411,284) teaches a secure resistive touch panel. Inoue et al. (US 6,075,520) teaches a current detection location system.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,176 Page 13

Art Unit: 2629

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameer K. Gokhale whose telephone number is (571) 272-5553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SKG August 7, 2008 Sameer Gokhale Examiner Art Unit 2629

AMR A. AWAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Amy Almal Run